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Test Plan

# Introduction

## Purpose

The purpose of the Iteration Test Plan is to gather all of the information necessary to plan and control the test effort for a given iteration. It describes the approach to testing the software, and is the top-level plan generated and used by managers to direct the test effort.

This *Test Plan* for the FaceRecognition supports the following objectives:

* The tests are aligned with the interface and functionality.
* The motivation is to develop an application with the fewest number of bugs.

## Scope

This document addresses the following types and levels of testing:

* Unit Tests
* Functional Tests
* User Interface and Usability Tests
* Stress Test

## Intended Audience

n/a

## Document Terminology and Acronyms

tba

## References

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Date** |
| [Overall Use Case Diagram](https://raw.githubusercontent.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/Documentation/master/Use%20Cases/UCD.jpg) | 07.04.2016 |
| [Software Requirements Specification](https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/Documentation/wiki/Software-Requirements-Specification) | 07.04.2016 |
| [Software Architecture Documentation](https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/Documentation/wiki/Software-Architecture-Documentation) | 11.05.2016 |
| [Use Case: Browse Image](https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/Documentation/wiki/Use-Case:-Browse-Image) | 04.05.2016 |
| [Use Case: Delete Image](https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/Documentation/wiki/Use-Case:-Delete-Image) | 04.05.2016 |
| [Use Case: Detect Face](https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/Documentation/wiki/Use-Case:-Detect-Face) | 04.05.2016 |
| [Use Case: Label Image](https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/Documentation/wiki/Use-Case:-Label-Image) | 04.05.2016 |
| [Use Case: Upload Image](https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/Documentation/wiki/Use-Case:-Upload-Image) | 04.05.2016 |
| [Use Case (S2): Bind to Google Search](https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/Documentation/wiki/Use-Case-(S2):-Bind-to-Google-Search) | 04.05.2016 |
| [Use Case (S2): Eye Detection](https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/Documentation/wiki/Use-Case-(S2):-Eye-Detection) | 04.05.2016 |
| Use Case (S2): Gender Classification | 04.05.2016 |
| [Use Case (S2): Statistics](https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/Documentation/wiki/Use-Case-(S2):-Statistics) | 04.05.2016 |
| Codacy, Coveralls, Load Test, SAD, SRS, SonarQube Links missing! |  |

## Document Structure

n/a

# Evaluation Mission and Test Motivation

## Background

Testing supports all project members with feedback to their work. It ensures that added functionalities do not change the behavior of the application in a bad way.

## Evaluation Mission

In general our mission is to improve our design and code quality.

This contains to find as many bugs as possible, find quality risks and so forth.

## Test Motivators

Tests reduce bugs in new features and in existing features. Also tests are good documentation and reduce the cost of work if something needs to be changed.

# Target Test Items

The listing below identifies those test itemssoftware, hardware, and supporting product elements that have been identified as targets for testing. This list represents what items will be tested.

* Operation System
* WebInterface
* Logic of application

# Outline of Planned Tests

4.1/4.2/4.3 Missing

# Test Approach

## Initial Test-Idea Catalogs and Other Reference Sources n/a

## Testing Techniques and Types

### Function Testing

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Technique Objective: | This tests should ensure that the functions of our project are working correctly |
| Technique: | The program starts functions and compares the results with an expected condition |
| Oracles: | Test run via Travis CI |
| Required Tools: | [Junit](http://junit.org/junit4/) |
| Success Criteria: | If the result and the condition matches the test was successful, Unit tests don’t fail |
| Special Considerations: | The Attribute Use Case can not be tested with Junit tests. With every commit the output changes due to the implementation of a machine learning algorithm which improves itself. |

### User Interface Testing

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Technique Objective: | Functionalities of the userintface got emulated |
| Technique: | User interaction, like clicks, got emulated. The tool compared therefore the condition before and after the emulation. |
| Oracles: | The test are successful, if the userinterface acts like the emulation predicted it. |
| Required Tools: | [Selenium](http://www.seleniumhq.org/)/Gherkin |
| Success Criteria: | All tests need to run through successfully |
| Special Considerations: |  |

### Load Testing

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Technique Objective: | This test should provide that our project runs even when there is a lot of load |
| Technique: | Therefor the program generates a high number of thread, like 5000. Then there are definded calls which this threads can use, for calling a page, login in or uploading an image. When the program starts all this threads work at the same time and cause so a lot of load |
| Oracles: | After the test finished there a lot of different possibilities to view the result. One opportunity is to show the response time as a graph for every definded call. |
| Required Tools: | [JMeter](http://jmeter.apache.org/) |
| Success Criteria: | In the result you can look up all call and can see if a call failed or not. You can also see for every defined call how much of the calls fails as a percentage. |
| Special Considerations: | tbd |

# Entry and Exit Criteria

# Deliverables

## Test Evaluation Summaries

Our summary of our tests are always up-to-date in Travis CI:  
<https://travis-ci.org/sapacaFaceRecognition/FaceRecognition>

## Reporting on Test Coverage

Our Test Coverage can be looked up at [Coverall.io](https://coveralls.io/github/sapacaFaceRecognition/FaceRecognition?branch=master) Our

Also on SonarQube: <http://193.196.7.25/overview?id=sapaca>

## Perceived Quality Reports

Codacy: <https://www.codacy.com/app/chi340/FaceRecognition/dashboard>  
SonarQube: <http://193.196.7.25/overview?id=sapaca>

## Incident Logs and Change Requests

We used [SonarQube](http://193.196.7.25/overview?id=sapaca) and [Travis-Ci](https://travis-ci.org/sapacaFaceRecognition/FaceRecognition) to trace and log our Incident Logs and Change Request. If a

Build fails on Travis CI it will automatically create a ticket in Jira.

## Smoke Test Suite and Supporting Test Scripts

n/a

## Additional Work Products

n/a

### Detailed Test Results

n/a

### Additional Automated Functional Test Scripts

Our Repository can be found under [GitHub](https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/FaceRecognition)

### Test Guidelines

n/a

### Traceability Matrices

n/a

# Testing Workflow

After a commit on github [Travis-Ci](https://travis-ci.org/sapacaFaceRecognition/FaceRecognition) tries to builds our project, after that [Coveralls](https://coveralls.io/github/sapacaFaceRecognition/FaceRecognition?branch=master) runs our definded tests and [Codacy](https://www.codacy.com/app/chi340/FaceRecognition/dashboard?bid=3316998) analysis it.

MISSING WORKFLOW!



Abbildung 1: Badges from our GitHub

# Environmental Needs

## Base System Hardware

The following table sets forth the system resources for the test effort presented in this *Test Plan*.

| **System Resources** | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Resource** | **Quantity** | **Name and Type** |
| Database Server | 1 | MySQL Database |
| Database Name | 1 | sapaca |
| Client Test PCs |  |  |
| —Software |  | Windows with Java JDK 8, XAMPP |

## Base Software Elements in the Test Environment

The following base software elements are required in the test environment for this *Test Plan*.

| **Software Element Name** | **Version** | **Type and Other Notes** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Windows | 10 | Operating System |
| XAMPP for Windows 5.6.14 | 5.6.14 | Virtuell Server and Database |
| IntelliJ | Community Editon 2016.1.1 | Editor |
| Spring Tool Suite | 3.6.4 | Editor |
| Internet Explorer |  | Internet Browser |
| Mozilla Firefox |  | Internet Browser |

## Productivity and Support Tools

The following tools will be employed to support the test process for this *Test Plan*.

| **Tool Category or Type** | **Tool Brand Name** | **Vendor or In-house** | **Version** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Test Coverage Monitor | Coverall.io | Lemur Heavy Industries |  |
| Code Climate, Metrics | Sonarqube | SonarSource S.A | 4.5.7 |
| Build Tool | Travis.ci | Travis CI GmbH |  |
| Metrics | MetricsReloaded | MetricsReloaded (Plugin for IntelliJ) | 1.7 |
| Testing | JUnit |  | 4.12 |
| IDE | IntelliJ IDEA |  | 2016.1.1 |
| Project Management | JIRA |  | 7.0.0 |
| Automatic Deployment, automatically create jar-File | Travis.ci | Travis CI GmbH |  |

## Test Environment Configurations

The following Test Environment Configurations needs to be provided and supported for this project.

| **Configuration Name** | **Description** | **Implemented in Physical Configuration** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Average user configuration | Number of users who are accessing the application at the same time | 50 Useres |
| Minimal configuration supported | Speed and power of the internet connection provided by the server host |  |
| Test Computer | Specs of a test computer | Processor: AMD A10-8700P Radeon R6 1,8 GHz  RAM: 8GB  HDD: 1 TB  OS: Windows 10 |

# Responsibilities, Staffing, and Training Needs

## People and Roles

This table shows the staffing assumptions for the test effort.

| **Human Resources** | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Role** | **Minimum Resources Recommended**  **(number of full-time roles allocated)** | **Specific Responsibilities or Comments** |
| Test Manager | Sascha Kühne | Provides management oversight.  Responsibilities include:   * planning and logistics * agree mission * identify motivators * acquire appropriate resources * present management reporting * advocate the interests of test * evaluate effectiveness of test effort |
| Test Analyst | Carolina Mehret | Identifies and defines the specific tests to be conducted.  Responsibilities include:   * identify test ideas * define test details * determine test results * document change requests * evaluate product quality |
| Test Designer | Sascha Kühne | Defines the technical approach to the implementation of the test effort.  Responsibilities include:   * define test approach * define test automation architecture * verify test techniques * define testability elements * structure test implementation |
| Tester | Pascal Treptow | Implements and executes the tests.  Responsibilities include:   * implement tests and test suites * execute test suites * log results * analyze and recover from test failures * document incidents |
| Test System Administrator | Sascha Kühne | Ensures test environment and assets are managed and maintained.  Responsibilities include:   * administer test management system * install and support access to, and recovery of, test environment configurations and test labs |
| Database Administrator, Database Manager | Sascha Kühne | Ensures test data (database) environment and assets are managed and maintained.  Responsibilities include:   * support the administration of test data and test beds (database). |
| Designer | Pascal Treptow | Identifies and defines the operations, attributes, and associations of the test classes.  Responsibilities include:   * defines the test classes required to support testability requirements as defined by the test team |
| Implementer | Carolina Mehret | Implements and unit tests the test classes and test packages.  Responsibilities include:   * creates the test components required to support testability requirements as defined by the designer |

## Staffing and Training Needs

n/a

# Iteration Milestones

n/a

# Risks, Dependencies, Assumptions, and Constraints

| **Risk** | **Mitigation Strategy** | **Contingency (Risk is realized)** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Project crashes and can´t be started | Bevor every commit it must be sure the project is working | * Testing bevor committing |
| The port for the server is occupied | Make sure no program is running on the port the server is using | * Give the server a special port * Restart your comupter |
| Changes influence existing functionalities | Chances, especially functionally chances, have to be tested bevor | * Reverse a commit * Testing bevor committing |

# Management Process and Procedures

n/a

1. **Metrics**

We used Sonar for Complexity and the IntelliJ Plugin MetricsReloaded for RFC. Blogpost metrics: <https://sapacablog.wordpress.com/2016/05/29/metrics/> Blogpost

## Metric 1: Complexity

We used Sonar for the Complexity metric and changed the class MainController.java. The following screenshot shows the before state with a complexity of 83 and complexity /functions of 3.8.

## 

## So we decided to reduce the complexity of the method (and the class) and improve the readability at the same time. Therefor we exported the calculation of the statistics from the MainController class into the class Statistics and created one new method in the MainController class. MainController source before improvement: <https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/FaceRecognition/blob/1f3b2420e7f126d715eac1f4dc7d51ace05674dc/complete/src/main/java/sapaca/MainController.java>

## Statistics source before improvement: <https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/FaceRecogntion/blob/5500b15184afa84aa98c903e8a638a1540f6670e/coplete/src/main/java/sapaca/Statistics.java>

## MainController source after improvement: <https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/FaceRecognition/blob/master/complete/src/main/java/sapaca/MainController.java>

## Statistics source after improvement: <https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/FaceRecognition/blob/master/complete/src/main/java/sapaca/Statistics.java>

## The changes led to an improvement of the complexity of the MainController Class. The following screenshot shows the complexity dropping from 83 to 74 and the complexity /function dropping to 3.9.

## 

## Metric 2: Response for Class (RFC)

## We used the RFC (Response for Class) as the second metric to improve the testability and reduce complexity. A high RFC means high complexity. It can be hard to test the behaviour of the class and to debug problems since comprehending class behaviour requires a deep understanding of the potential interactions that objects of the class can have with the rest of the system. We are going to improve the GenderClassification class with the RFC metric.

## The following screenshot shows the RFC of the classes before the changes. The Genderclassification class has a RFC of 51. The screenshot shows two GenderClassification classes, ignore the second one since it’s just the test class. To improve the RFC we first removed methods which were used for the gender classification in our first try of implementing the gender classification. The methods are useless because our current implementation takes care of the steps the method executes. The following screenshots show the removed methods:

## 

## step2removalsThen we removed the setAttributesForFalseClassification method, which sets all attributes to 0 in case of a failing classification and put the logic into the constructor. The following screenshots show these steps.

## step2additions

## Then we replaced the verifyResults() method with an if-statement. The whole method is small and just verifies that the classification didn’t fail. The following screenshots show these steps.

## step3removals

## step3additions

## We reduced the rfc to 39 with these steps as you can see in the following screenshot.. You can see all the changes in the [Git Commit](https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/FaceRecognition/commit/fae3518183ccbc0333a032d0f099c2faf3e376a2) <https://github.com/sapacaFaceRecognition/FaceRecognition/commit/fae3518183ccbc0333a032d0f099c2faf3e376a2>

## rfcafter

## What we didn’t change

## We have not improved the following because it’s wrong. SonarQube didn’t recognized the Spring annotation *****@RequestMapping***** and therefor thinks the method is useless and can be replaced by a constant value:

## metrics_not_improved (new)

## We have also ignored the warning that I should declare the 4 int variables on separate lines, because we don’t think that it’s usefull. If the variables are completly different and maybe even have different values the warning is okay, but in this case we think our implementation is better:

## metrics_not_improved 2